



Knoxville • Knox County Hillside and Ridgetop Protection Plan

Prepared by the Knoxville Knox County Metropolitan Planning Commission
for the City-County Task Force on Ridge, Slope and Hillside Development & Protection

December 2010 DRAFT

**City-County Task Force on
Ridge, Slope and Hillside Development & Protection**

Tony Norman, Knox County Commissioner, Task Force Co-Chair
Joe Hultquist, Former Knoxville City Councilman, Task Force Co-Chair

Mark Campen
Bart Carey
Elaine Clark
Carol Evans
Wayne Hastings
Sara Hedstrom
Renee Hoyos
Diane Humphreys-Barlow
Victor Jernigan
Wayne Loveday
John Luttrell
Mark Margetts
David McGinley
Garry Menendez
Gary Norvell
Cindy Pionke
Tom Simpson
Will Skelton
Rocky Smith
Lisa Starbuck
David Vandergriff
James Wade
Tim Zitzman

**Knoxville • Knox County Metropolitan Planning Commission
Staff Team**

Mark Donaldson, Executive Director
Buz Johnson, AICP, Deputy Director
Mike Carberry, AICP, Comprehensive Planning Manager
Liz Albertson, Planner II
Mike Reynolds, Planner II
Tom Brechko, AICP, Principal Planner
Tim Kuhn, GIS Administrator
Alex Zendel, GIS Analyst
Ryan Justice, GIS Specialist
Jo Ella Washburn, Graphic Designer
Sarah Powell, Webmaster
Nathan Benditz, EIT, Knoxville Area Transportation Planning Organization
Allen Alderman, Planning Technician
Debbie Mitchell, Office Assistant I
Susan Taylor, Administrative Assistant II
Charlotte West, Administrative Assistant I
Tina Ash, Intern
Liz Ball, Intern
Megan Bucknam, Intern
Nathan Oliver, Intern
Derek Street, Intern
Matthew Wiggins, Intern

The creation of this plan was made possible through a grant from:
The Tennessee Department of Agriculture, Division of Forestry and the US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service

Knoxville • Knox County
Hillside and Ridgetop
Protection Plan

**Prepared by the Knoxville Knox County Metropolitan Planning Commission
for the City-County Task Force on Ridge, Slope and Hillside Development & Protection**

December 2010 DRAFT

This plan was adopted by:
Knoxville Knox County Metropolitan Planning Commission on December 9, 2010

Preface

With the adoption of this Hillside and Ridgetop Protection Plan, the first phase of the Joint City-County Task Force on Ridge, Slope and Hillside Development and Protection comes to an end. The Task Force has pursued a general policy of balancing conservation and development needs. The tone of that balance can be seen in Section 2, the plan section.

Before reading that section, take some time to review the background material, which provides an overview of hillside resources, and the shortcomings, as well as the positive aspects, of existing slope protection plans, codes and standards.

The plan includes new density and clearing policies, which serve as a refinement of the existing Knoxville-Knox County General Plan policies. As such, a primary means to implement the plan are new zoning and use-on-review cases. You will also see that several steps are recommended for plan implementation. Some of those will require further public review, like the adoption of new hillside road standards and hillside clearing codes. The Task Force is willing to work with MPC, City and County staff for a second phase of their work, including the drafting, review and refinement of new codes, standards and regulations. These would likely include a conservation subdivision ordinance, hillside road width standards, and stormwater regulation amendments regarding hillside land disturbance.

Table of Contents

Section 1: Background

Introduction	1
Characteristics of Ridges	2
Forested Extent	2
Forest Types in Knox County	2
Slope	5
Geological Influences on the Form of Knox county’s Ridges	7
“Knife-edge Ridges” Formed by Sandstone	7
Implications for Conservation and Development	7
Asymmetrical Ridges Formed by Dolomite and Limestone	7
Implications for Conservation and Development	8
Complexly Shaped Ridges Formed by Sandstone, Shale and Limestone	8
Issues and Concerns	9
Mass Wasting (Landslides)	9
Height of Structures	13
Lack of Reforestation	14
Lack of Infrastructure	15
Stormwater Control and Water Quality	16
Air Quality	16
Existing Plans and Policies	17
The Growth Plan for Knoxville, Knox county, and Farragut, Tennessee	17
The General Plan	19
Sector Plans	19
Existing Regulations	21
Land Clearing and Grading	21
State of Tennessee	21
City of Knoxville	21
Knox County	21
Subdivision Regulations	21
Streets and Roads	21
Local Streets	21
Joint Permanent Easements (JPE)	21
Driveways	21
Lot Drainage and Topography	21
Hillside Subdivisions	21
Street Design	21
Curb and Gutter	22
Lots	22
Building Height	22
Commercial Telecommunications Facilities	22
Building Permits	22
Tree Protection	22

Typical Approaches: Model Ordinance and Guidelines	23
Economic Considerations	23
Summary of Public Input	24
Task Force and Subcommittee Meetings	24
Surveys of Task Force Members	24
Public Meetings	25
Implications from Current Regulations, Ordinance Reviews and Meetings	26

Section 2: The Plan

Guiding Principles, Objectives and Policies	29
Hillside and Ridgetop Protection Area	31
Characteristics of the Hillside and Ridgetop Protection Area	31
Zoning and Development Policies	33
Low Density and Rural Residential Uses	33
Base Density	33
Density Bonus Provision	34
Density/Intensity Outside the Hillside Protection Area	34
Clearing and Grading Provision	34
Pre-existing Vacant and New Lots	35
Recommended Zoning	35
Medium Density Residential and Office Uses	35
Density and Use Intensity	35
Clearing and Grading Provision	35
Recommended Zoning	35
Commercial, Industrial and Borrow Pit Uses	36
Use Restrictions	36
Recommended Zoning	36
Plan Amendments and Special Districts for Office and Commercial Uses	36
Other Considerations	36
The Effect of the Hillside Policies	36
Development Guidelines	37
Maximum Building Height	37
Low and Rural Residential Density Development	37
Medium Density and Office Development	39
Commercial and Industrial Development	39
Subdivision Regulations	40
Roadway Standards	40
Setback and Lot Size Recommendations	41
Proposed Conservation Subdivision Program	41
Open Space Program	41
Primary and Secondary Open Space Areas	41

Density Determination	41
Density Bonus Provision	41
Open Space Management	41
Applicable Zoning Districts	41
Reforestation	43
Background	43
A Better Grading and Reforestation Practice	43
Description of the Recommended Practice	43
Recommended Actions	44
Cost Advantages	44
Grading and Clearing	44
Land Disturbance Defined	44
Permitting for Land Disturbance	44
General Requirements	44
Disturbance Area Delineation	44
Geotechnical Analysis on Potential Landslide Areas	45
Reforestation and Landscaping	45
Ridgetops	45
Timber Cutting and Logging	45
Administration	46
Zoning and Plans Review	46
Planned Zone Districts	46
Zoning Overlays and Plans Review for Permitting	46
Hillside Overlay Zoning District	46
Standard Review Under Building Permit Processes	46
Special Hillside Development Zoning Code	47
Residential Uses in the Agricultural Zone	47
Staff Review and Inspections	48
Recommendation	48
Review of Subdivision Proposals, Land Disturbance Activities and Timber Removal Operations	49
Fire Suppression	49
Fire Suppression Programs	50
FireWise Program	50
House Construction	50
Yard Landscaping and Tree Maintenance	50
Recommendations	50
Structures on Hillside and Ridgetops	51
Water Tank, Tower and Supply	51
Minimum General Requirements	51
Water Supply Implications	51
Proposed New Hillside Fire Protection Plans and Standards	51
Designing and Locating Water Towers, Tanks and Reservoirs	52
Public Review Proposals	52
Natural Areas and Ridge Conservation Corridors	53

Potential Conservation Programs	53
Hillside Conservation and Development Standards	53
Ridge Corridors and Trail Easement Acquisition	53
Linkages between Ridge Conservation Corridors and Natural and Wildlife Management Areas	53
Implementing Public and Quasi Public Corridor Efforts	53
Legacy Parks Foundation	53
Corporate Sponsors/Naming Rights	53
Real Estate Transfer Tax	53
Referenda for Open Space Funding	53

Appendix A:

Economic Considerations: Land Values and Open Space Systems	57
Land Values Associated with Hillside and Open Space Systems	57
Public Open Space and Property Values	57
Summary of Various Studies	58
Local Economic Benefits	58
Perceptions of How Open Space Systems Affect Property Values	58
Studies Specific to Hillside and Ridgetops	58

Appendix B:

Overview of the Hillside and Ridgetop Protection Area Model	59
Initial Model Runs	59
Model Revision 1	59
Model Revision 2	60
Model Revision 3	60

Appendix C

Development Implications of Proposed Hillside and Ridgetop Protection Policies	61
Introduction	61
The Basic Question	61
Potential New Residential Development	61
Land Below the Hillside and Ridgetop Protection Area	61
Redevelopment of Rural Residential Parcels Outside the Hillside and Ridgetop Protection Area	62
Bottom Line on Housing for a Growing Population	62
Other Considerations	62
New Commercial Space	62
Areas Not Considered with Significant Development Potential	62

Appendix D:

Best Management Practice: Hillside Restoration	63
--	----

Cut Slope Stabilization and Reforestation.....	63
Suitable Applications.....	63
Proposed Hillside Forest Reclamation Process	63
Appendix E:	
Best Management Practice: Recommended Trees for Streetscapes, Parking Lots, Yards and Reforestation.....	65
Appendix F:	
Model Conservation Subdivision Ordinance.....	69
Appendix G:	
Land Disturbance Calculation	75
Appendix H:	
Summary of Development Incentives	77

List of Maps

Map 1: Forested Slopes.....	2
Map 2: Species Richness	4
Map 3: Slope Classifications.....	6
Map 4: Soil Slippage Potential	12
Map 5: Knoxville, Knox County, and Farragut Growth Plan	18
Map 6: MPC Planning Sectors.....	20
Map 7: Hillside and Ridgetop Protection Plan	32
Map 8: Potential Ridge Conservation Corridors.....	54

List of Tables

Table 1: Countywide Slope Characteristics	5
Table 2: Proposed Hillside and Ridgetop Protection Area Acreage by Slope	31
Table 3: Residential Density in Relation to Slope within the Hillside and Ridgetop Protection Area - Recommended Basis for Rezonings and Subdivision Design	33
Table 4: Proposed Minimum Lot Size, Density and Clearing on Hillsides in the County’s Agricultural-Zoned Areas	47
Table A-1: Summary of Open Space Systems Effect on Property Values	57
Table C-1: Proposed Residential Density Standards	61
Table E-1: Large Tree Species Recommendatons.....	66
Table E-2: Medium Tree Species Recommendatons	67
Table E-3: Small Tree Species Recommendatons.....	68

List of Figures

Figure 1: Example of Terrain Adaptive Architecture.....	37
Figure 2: Example of Reduced Right-of-way, Setbacks, and Adaptive Rooflines	37
Figure 3: Example of Office Development on a Hillside with Underground Parking ..	39
Figure 4: Example of Proposed Pavement Width of 20 Feet for Hillside Road	41
Figure 5: Hypothetical Hillside Development Example.....	42
Figure 6: Example of Preserving Tree Ridgeline with Residential Development	45
Figure 7: Firewise “Defensible Space” Fire Safety Principles	50
Figure B-1: Fayetteville Model.....	59
Figure B-2: MPC Model Revision 1	59
Figure B-3: MPC Model Revision 2	60
Figure B-4: MPC Model Revision 3	60

